

Magazine of the British National Party

Identity

Two Parties, One Passion

£1.95

May 2004

Issue 44



Freedom



May's News

■ UKIP 2.5% - BNP 13%

A council by-election in Telford, Shropshire, last month gave a clear message to all anti-EU voters that they should support the BNP and not waste their vote on the UKIP. With 188 votes (13.0%) in the Madeley Ward the BNP candidate, Martin Coleman, gained more than five times as many votes as the UKIP with its derisory 36 votes, in spite of national press support for that party. For those voters not particularly interested in the EU and who think that the Lib Dems actually offer an alternative to Labour-Tory, well their 105 votes meant that the BNP beat them as well! Labour won the seat, with an independent pushing the Tories into third place.

Telford, like Crewe in Cheshire, where in March the BNP gained 12% of the poll in a local by-election, is an area that until now had never been worked by the BNP. Simon Darby, the BNP's No.1 Euro candidate for the West Midlands region said that they had to achieve 11% to stay on target. Therefore, 13% was an excellent result.

■ Lab/Con anti-BNP Pact

The idea that the Labour Party and the Tories offer the electorate a real choice at the polls was exposed as a sham last month when a Labour spokesman told the *Daily Mirror* that he backed the idea of the Tories pretending to be tough on immigration.

He added: "What Michael Howard is doing is the best thing to defeat the BNP. He is saying to the disillusioned voter who is worried about immigration that at least one mainstream party understands the problem."

This is the second time that it has been revealed that the main parties are secretly working together to try and scupper the BNP's electoral chances. The first meeting, with the Lib Dems also present, was at an hotel off the M62 in Yorkshire in

January, where Howard's famous Burnley diatribe against the BNP was planned.

Another rumour circulating is that money from one of the old gang parties' coffers has gone to the Marxist-Zionist *Searchlight* organisation for the printing of over a million anti-BNP broadsheets that are now being distributed to influence voters on June 10th. As there are no *Searchlight* candidates standing, one would think this was a breach of electoral law.

■ Media Black-out Over Murdered Soldier



You would have thought that the murder in a Manchester street of a British soldier just back from serving his country in Iraq, would have made the news headlines. The fact that all it received was two, half-inch reports in the *Manchester Evening News* was probably something to do with Manchester Police describing the suspected murderers as "Asian and in their 20s".

Twenty-two year-old Private Lee Martin was attacked as he left a restaurant on Wilbraham Road, Chorlton-cum-Hardy in Manchester while he was on leave last month. Two 'Asian' men knocked him to the ground and as he lay on the pavement they repeatedly kicked him around the head. He was rushed to Wythenshawe hospital but later died from his injuries.

■ Officer's Body Burnt in Islamic Revenge

The body of a police Special Forces officer who died when Islamic

terrorists blew themselves up in Madrid was taken from its grave, mutilated and burnt. The body of special agent Francisco Javier Torronteras was pulled from the tomb in Madrid Sur cemetery and pushed 1000 yards in a wheelbarrow before being doused with petrol and set alight. The body was found with a pick driven into its head and a spade dug into its chest. From a *Daily Telegraph* report, 20.04.04

■ 'Snub to Ethnic' Says Would-be Tory London Mayor



Steve Norris, the Conservative candidate for London Mayor, has challenged the body overseeing the elections for deciding not to publish election addresses from Mayoral candidates in any language other than English. He said the decision was "a snub to the capital's two million ethnic minority voters".

He then played from that well-known and well-worn record that goes: "Part of what makes London such a great city is its huge diversity, etc, etc ." Julian Leppert, BNP Mayoral candidate, said: "Really?"

Identity

Editor: John Bean
May 2004

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 841,
Balsham, CB1 6WF
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Note: Back issues can only be supplied for a minimum of 3 copies.

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 8WT.

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

Spread The Word: 'Time to Vote BNP'

On June 10th, voters throughout England, Scotland and Wales have the opportunity to vote for BNP MEPs to represent us in the lion's den in Brussels and Strasbourg; also for BNP councillors in many areas of England and Wales; and for the London Mayoral Election. We appeal to every member and supporter to get out and help your local branch/group in last minute leaflet distribution, even if you have never done it before. It's really quite safe, no one has been taken prisoner yet. Also, don't hide the BNP light behind a bushel. Present the BNP facts you will find in this issue to your relatives, friends and workmates and tell them that their vote will make an effect if we gain only one MEP and just five more councillors.

The three most important issues affecting the future of our country and our people are immigration, the threat of terrorism, and our loss of sovereignty to the EU. Point out to those people who consider Health, Education and Housing to be more important than the problems confronting these issues, including the escalation of house prices, are all exacerbated by the immigration flood.

The old parties have subjected us to the Lib-Lab-Con trick of allowing several million non-European immigrants into our land, plus their countless descendants, *without once ever asking us and now threaten us with imprisonment if we object in public.* Unlike the old parties, throughout its history the BNP leading spokesmen and women have consistently called for a halt to all further immigration, supported with the offer of substantial resettlement grants for those wishing to return voluntarily to their own homelands or those of their family.

The BNP consistently opposed the war on Iraq, stating that we should 'mind Britain's business'. Blair, with FULL Tory support, sucked up to Bush who went to war with Iraq over non-existent weapons of mass destruction, but in reality to remove a potential threat to Israel and to secure Middle East oil resources. The result has been a massively increased threat of Islamist terrorist acts in the Madrid style. Bring our troops back to aid the control of terrorism and illegal immigration; not to rot and die in Basra.

Oh, and although the Lib Dems did not agree with America and Britain as nations attacking Iraq, they were quite happy for their soldiers to do so and give their lives under the auspices of the United Nations, who Bush and Blair are now turning to in the hope they can get them out of the mess of 'liberated' Iraq.

New Labour and the Liberal Democrats are dedicated to our becoming completely bound within the European Union. The Tories got us in there in the first place through Edward Heath's con trick back in the seventies of kidding us it was just a trading partnership. Today, Howard's men just play at delaying tactics, but like Blair they will eventually have us under the new European Constitution straightjacket. Again, the BNP has always said "No to Europe. Yes to co-operation with fellow Europeans where it is mutually beneficial".

Don't Be Led Up Howard's Way


We must emphasise to friends, family and workmates not to be led up the garden path, or Howard's Way by the Tories pretending they will secure British independence from the

dictatorship of the Brussels bureaucrats, or that they will make any really noticeable effect on the rate of immigration. Where have been their protests over the fact that the subjects of the ten new countries who joined the EU on May 1st (mainly East Europeans) can enter Britain without hindrance?

Furthermore, Howard has shown his pro-European hand by telling his MEPs that they must support the European People's Party, a Brussels grouping that aims for "a united federal Europe". One outcome is that it will stop the handful of well-intentioned Tory MEPs continuing their fight against the fraud which forced the resignation of the entire European Commission.

In 1997, when John Major was PM and Michael Howard was Home Secretary, the EU's Schengen Agreement became law in Britain. It abolished border controls within the EU and introduced a single asylum and immigration policy. John Major had a chance at the Maastricht negotiations to do something about this loss of Britain's control over its borders, but he did nothing and, indeed, misled parliament in May 1992 about the status of border controls. We heard nothing either from Michael Howard, as a senior Cabinet Minister.

Also let it be noted that at the time of the resignation of Labour's immigration 'minister', Beverley Hughes, the Home Office - wishing to show that the Tories had started the immigration flood - revealed that in 1992-1993 the then Tory controlled Home Office had granted exceptional leave to remain in the UK to "perhaps 20,000 people who would otherwise have been refused asylum". It was argued that the policy Miss Hughes authorised last year, far from being secret and unprecedented, merely echoed previous, similar exercises. *Daily Telegraph*, 30.03.04.



John Bean

Editor



'Nationalist Groups of the British Isles Must Stand Together Or We Will Hang Separately'

By Nick Griffin



It is now four years since *The Times* (4th September, 2000) broke the news that on present trends the English, Scots, Welsh and Irish will become an absolute minority in our island homelands before the end of the century. "On present trends" perhaps as early as 2060. And, with eight million gypsies in Bulgaria and Romania due to get the right to come here as EU citizens in 2007, the signs are that those present trends are going to get even worse.

Already, as almost everybody knows, but fewer have the courage to say in public, the English in particular are second class citizens - hewers of wood, drawers of water and payers of taxes for an arrogant political elite and their pet minorities.

Those of us with children or grandchildren in particular have both a reason and a duty to give very serious thought to the likely future for a people who have become so put

upon even while they are still the overwhelming majority, and to consider how much worse things will inevitably be once our close descendants are an absolute minority. And, with that grim picture firmly in our minds, to move forwards to a well thought out, sensible, moral and potentially effective form of action to halt and reverse those "present trends" in the few years that we have left.

Of course there are many other issues which must also be addressed: The destruction of our countryside by over-development, the CAP and agri-business; the demolition of our imperfect but finely balanced constitution and personal and national freedoms by the Blair regime and the European Union; the collapse of our education system, law and order and civil society under the hammer blows of the left-liberal assault on our traditions and core

values; the Westminster parties' addiction to the globalisation which is completing the transformation of the workshop of the world into a low-wage economic slum.

All these and many more, often related, problems need to be addressed, but they all pale into insignificance compared with the fact that the closely related European tribes who have made and been made by these islands, face ethnic and cultural obliteration in the twinkling of an historical eye. It is, according to the United Nations definition, an open and shut case of genocide. It falls to our generation to stop it, because the point of no return will be reached long before 2060. Realistically, we have no more than twenty years to avoid fighting and losing a civil war, and seeing the ancient Book of Albion closed forever.

CLOSE LINKS

Only an unrealistic 'Anglo-fundamentalist' would deny the very close cultural, ethnic and historical links which exist between the indigenous peoples on the British Isles. English, Scots, Welsh, Irish, and the local identities in Ulster, Cornwall and the Isle of Man, whatever our past problems, the simple fact is that, in geo-political terms, and in the crisis of Western civilisation which is now upon us, we must hang together or we will hang separately.

There are certain things, such as competing on the world economic stage, maintaining effective defence forces, foreign policy decisions, and major transport links which self-evidently are best decided at a pan-British level including, ideally, Eire.

At the same time, there are

certain things, in particular resisting the socially atomising, culture-distorting influence of American Coca-Cola cultural imperialism, which are better done at the level of our older and more organic national identities. In this area, needless to say, England is by far the weakest at present, but while we can learn from institutions such as the Welsh Eisteddfod in our quest to reforge English culture, in the end the English must rediscover their own roots by themselves.

Meanwhile, there are many things, such as local development plans and the provision of many essential services, which are no more the proper business of an English parliament than of the current constitutional dog's breakfast in Westminster. Democracy is most effective when decisions are made as close to the people as is practically possible, so decisions which can be dealt with locally should be made by bodies elected at County or city borough level.

As we have developed our position in such matters in recent years, the BNP has moved from favouring the old centralised Westminster-based arrangements to a far more radical position. A key factor in this has been the need to find a way to undo the damage done to the old ways by the EU-inspired drive to Balkanise the British state so as to break it into easily swallowed and digested lumps, whether they be Wales or Scotland or totally artificial 'English' regions (we have already begun campaigning against John Prescott's regionalisation plans, which are due to be put to the inhabitants of northern England by referendum in August).

It is clearly unfair and intolerable that the West Lothian Question hangs over the role of Scottish MPs at Westminster voting on issues affecting England, while Scottish voters have such decisions taken purely by their own representatives. The potential of this anomaly to create a dangerous rise in hostility among English taxpayers to Scots perse is immense.

Equally, for a British National Party



government to try to put Humpty Dumpty back together again by closing down the Scottish parliament and the Welsh Assembly and reimposing Westminster Direct Rule would be to invite resentment among those deprived of institutions which they might not love but would surely rally to defend.

EU COLLAPSE

On top of that, we have to at least try to find ways to end the hundreds of years of on-off conflict between the two nations in Ireland, and in particular work towards a viable replacement for the European Union when that totally artificial monstrosity either collapses under its own weight or is rejected as a tyranny.

To those ends, we now advocate a federated system on lines closer to Australia or post-war Germany than to the fig leaf for bureaucratic rule provided by the institutions of the EU, but with rather more power resting with the federated nations than with the states and Lander in those examples.

The supra-national decisions would be made in a pan-British parliament, based on the Isle of Man but with a rotating presence in each of the British family of nations. The elected representatives of local constituencies based on traditional counties and city boroughs would in all probability form party blocks, but the dogmatic party whip system which thwarts popular opinion so much at present would be restricted to a considerable degree. There

would also be a mechanism whereby MPs could be recalled and replaced by their constituents far more readily than at present, shifting them from being free-agent representatives towards being delegates whose mission is to reflect local feeling.

The local national parliaments including the English parliament which is at present so unjustly denied the largest nation in the British Family of Nations - would be elected and run very much on the same basis as we have long been used to at Westminster, Holyrood, Cardiff, Stormont and Dublin.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

The power at present being concentrated undemocratically in the hands of European institutions would be devolved as appropriate to each of the two levels above, while much of the power shortly to be vested in the 'English' regions would be handed to the local government structures recreated at traditional county level.

We do not pretend that this system is fully thought through, and we do not pretend that it is perfect. Indeed, no system is perfect, but we are happy that this broad outline is better than the over-centralisation which we had in the past, the botched and institutionally anti-English shambles we have at present, or the weak and mutually hostile statelets which are likely to spring from the ruins of the current mess if it is allowed to continue on its present unsatisfactory course.

This is a much shortened version of an article by which appears in the May issue of the magazine *Steadfast*, an independent publication which publishes articles of interest to the English community. Contact: *Steadfast*, 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3XX send £2.50 and stamps for a sample copy. www.steadfastonline.org.uk



May 2004

Le Pen Dinner

Two Parties, One Passion



Steve Blake reports from the Nationalist event of the year

The future belongs to the British National Party, which has already started on the road to victory, and the Front National is proud to join with and support Europeans nationalists in defence of our common interests Europe!

This was the message from the world famous leader of the French Front National Jean-Marie Le Pen, as he addressed a capacity-crowd, formal black tie dinner in his honour, hosted by the British National Party at a beautiful and symbolic location on the Welsh-English border at the end of April.

"One who likes his own kind does not despise others," said M. Le Pen.

"This preference for one's own nation and people is a universal value, it is a right of self determination, and if everyone just looks after themselves, instead of trying to interfere with others, the world will be a better and safer place," he said to thunderous applause from the 250 guests from all over Britain.

"The BNP is a reflection of what the British people really think, and the spineless federalist parties will try to discredit the BNP," M. Le Pen warned. "You are however lucky to have a young, courageous and enthusiastic leader who will lead this party to victory!" M. Le Pen said as

the television cameras rolled, transmitting the images of two united, proud and peace loving European peoples all over the world.

"The future belongs to us nationalists who seek to preserve and build our national states, and to protect and preserve the principles of honesty and justice in all political spheres," he said, adding that it was his driving ideal, as he knew it was that of the BNP.

M. Le Pen said that it was vital that there be solidarity between nationalists fighting for the same cause in the face of the common enemy those who seek to destroy the national state and survival of each people.

"We are all in the same boat, we are all Europeans, but this boat is sinking, Europe is sinking," he said. All the peoples of Europe are victims of the present governing elite, who are inflicting economic, social, cultural, political and moral decay through their policies of federalism and mass immigration, M. Le Pen said, surprising the press by addressing the gathering in English.

"The continued impoverishment of the Third World drives the people of those regions to enter Europe, which they see as an escape from their own poverty," he continued.



This process is directly linked to the creation of the European Union superstate, he warned.

"The EU superstate will lead to the destruction of our civilisation, as the federalists are determined to eradicate the nation state, which is the cornerstone of our democratic ideal," he said.

M. Le Pen pointed out that all previous attempts to create super states out of different nations had failed, quoting Yugoslavia as a recent example.

"No supranational state has ever survived, as people naturally want to live in freedom and self determination," he said. "The governing elite are trying to create a new multi-cultural empire, one which every day reveals its inherent weakness."

Together, European nationalists can defeat the enemies of Europe, he said. "We are proud to support you and admire the political maturity which the British people have shown!"

British National Party leader Nick Griffin spoke immediately afterwards, thanking the FN leader for the major sacrifice he had made in interrupting his own election campaign to travel to the UK to



support the party.

"Although the BNP is best known for its policies on immigration, we in fact take our stand a much broader range of issues than that," he said. "This is a radical party. We're not conservative not Conservative with a big 'C', not conservative with a small 'c'."

He went on to say that the party has radical policies that envisions a revolutionised Britain, with massive changes affecting all levels of society - economic, social and cultural. Then, pointing out the scenic beauty of the surrounds - the valley of the river Severn he said that the choice of location was quite deliberate, and illustrated just one of our truly radical aims:

"We intend to re-beautify our whole country. Take for example the hideous neo-Marxist monstrosity called the new Coventry cathedral," he said. The original cathedral, damaged in a World War II bombing raid, has never been rebuilt. Instead it was left destroyed, with a 'new' cathedral, devoid of any cultural value, being surrounded by eyesore office blocks.

"A BNP government will tear down the monstrosities of the sixties and restore the old Cathedral to its former glory. And around it we will plant hundreds of fruit trees all the old varieties of apples that used to grace the cottage gardens of England and are being wiped out by the Eurocrats. And ten years into that





BNP government people will come there on St. George's Day and watch the red cross flag flying high over the tallest, shining spire, and marvel at what will already be known as the "Cathedral in the Orchard", in its sea of white and pink and red blossom."

"And all those who see it will gaze in wonder at what our people can achieve - once they remember who they really are," he concluded to the umpteenth round of rapturous applause, finished off with yet another standing ovation.

Posing afterwards for the photographers, the BNP and FN leaders locked in a historic handshake that marks the first step in the birth of a new European bond, which will gather strength and momentum in the years ahead. The massive banner in the marquee reflected the evening's theme: "Two Parties, One Passion Freedom".

Even the meal represented the best of both countries: haggis starters, top quality Welsh beef and the traditional English trimmings, accompanied by excellent French wines. The evening concluded with a spectacular fireworks display.

The images of the orderly, cultured and civilised Europeans contrasted so vividly with the ugly scenes of Marxist protestors earlier in the day, that politics experts interviewed on TV in the North West and the West Midlands the next day each commented on it. The televised images of the BNP as a party of



evening dress and wedding marquee sophistication alone justified the effort involved in organizing the event.

This dinner underlined the new era of professionalism and dedication by the BNP the only political party with the ability to take on the current pseudo-Marxist regime. Tribute must be made to the dedicated hard work of the largely unpaid team that put the whole event together.

Those who dug deep into their pockets and time to ensure its success did so not because of their desire to be entertained, but out of a deep conviction that and response to the call to defend their people and their way of life.

The event not only launched the BNP's European election campaign and solidified its international links, but also served to show what can be done when British people make up their minds to something. This same dedication will be repeated on June 10th, when in council wards, European parliamentary elections and the GLA, the party's vote share will rocket, resulting in a record number of representatives being elected.

As M. Le Pen said, the BNP is made up of great British people, and the sooner some of them are working alongside the FN in the European parliament, the better of both nations.



Far Left Riot in Manchester



Jean-Marie Le Pen & Nick Griffin at the press conference

BNP stewards protect the car

What can be best described as a "freak"

"You can be very proud of your stewards, but your police are a disgrace." This was the response of the Front National's Jean-Michel Girard, to the far-left riot outside the joint BNP/FN press conference in Antrincham, Greater Manchester.

The head of the Front National's overseas affairs bureau had witnessed the whole highly publicised affair, starting with a very well attended press conference. Around forty journalists, including TV crews, radio reporters, representatives from all the main newspapers and photographers, packed the hotel room for what was undoubtedly the best attended press briefing in the party's history.

Ably chaired by Burnley BNP council group leader, Len Starr, the conference saw a barrage of queries fired at the FN President Jean-Marie Le Pen, and BNP Chairman Nick Griffin. Both fielded hostile and probing questions with practiced ease.

Asked what he thought about the fact that his visit was "opposed by so many people", M. Le Pen smiled and turned the question back on the reporter who had asked it: "There are sixty million people in this country. How many demonstrators are out there?"

The answer was about 150, and the sorry collection of hysterical freaks and would-be thugs outside were unwittingly about to give an enormous boost to the BNP's European Election campaign launch.

The press conference itself went off without a hitch, but as the TV crews packed up, it became clear that the Marxist mob had been allowed to occupy the hotel's entrance, and that the police were refusing to clear them out of the way. Keen to avoid confrontation, the BNP's steward team scouted out a side exit straight into the car park, and our group moved towards it.

At this point, however, the police officer in charge interfered, forbidding the BNP/FN to leave the building. The police then proceeded to hold us under virtual house arrest for some 20 minutes, long enough for the screaming mob to spot and block the exit, and for the TV crews to reposition themselves to record the anticipated riot.

The result was that, as soon as the group - comprising the two party leaders, M. Girard, two FN security men and fewer than a dozen BNP stewards emerged from the hotel, they were surrounded by

around 150 leftist demonstrators screaming for their blood.

M. Le Pen and Mr. Griffin were got into one of our cars without incident but the car's path was then repeatedly blocked by the mob. Despite repeated leftist attacks on the vehicle and on the police and BNP stewards surrounding it - with fists, boots, and bins full of kitchen waste, the police officers present were clearly under orders not to draw their batons or to use their CS gas sprays on the violent law-breakers.

The irony of the whole affair was that, had it not been for the presence of the police, the small BNP and FN security team would undoubtedly have been able to persuade the baying freak show to back off to a respectful distance. As it was, quite a few of those who got too near to the car quickly found it wise to pick themselves up and retire behind their 'female' colleagues.

Thanks to the police decision to allow the clashes, it took at least twenty minutes for the BNP car to inch its way out of the car park and to head on its way towards the evening's dinner venue.

But if the intention was to give our campaign launch bad publicity, the stunt blew up in the faces of Manchester's Politically Correct police chiefs and their hooligan leftist allies. What might otherwise have been a short-lived and minor news item became the day's main news story, with images of crazed, scruffy, hate-filled leftists trying to assault well-dressed and self-restrained nationalists going about their lawful democratic business.

The impact on the public was shown by the Sky News inter-active poll, which asked "Should Le Pen be in Britain." The 'Yes' vote had been in the lead right from the start but by the time the poll closed, and following the evening and next morning's reports featuring footage of the elegant and clearly very civilised dinner (including a very good extract from the speech by the BNP's Cultural Officer, Jonathan Bowden), the figure in favour of Le Pen and the BNP rose to a stunning 83%.

An ITV Teletext poll produced very similar results, indicating that viewers were in no doubt as to who was to blame for the trouble, and that they watched with increasing sympathy for the BNP as the opposition did their worst.



The Fife Questionnaire

Steve Blake, the BNP lead Candidate for the Scotland Euro Region, was interviewed by a budding reporter from a Fife secondary school for a report in his school magazine on the "Far Right in Europe". This was the second interview given in two weeks to Scottish schools. His answers will be useful for all BNP members in dealing with the media.

1) Would you say that the far right in Europe has gained electoral success in recent years?

It very much depends upon how one defines "far right". Some of the political parties that have achieved considerable success in various European countries are no more "right wing" than the Tory Party here in the UK. What we are seeing is a breakdown of the old alliances and the old terminology such as "left wing" and "right wing". There is

clearly a growing support for parties that embrace a degree of radical patriotism, nationalism or regionalism along with traditional conservative beliefs such as championing the institution of the family, encouraging small businesses and cracking down on social problems, in particular crime. There is little disputing the fact that while such patriotic parties have achieved considerable success in recent elections very few have the organisational abilities, long term

strategy and in depth ideology to sustain a longer term set of victories. Three countries, the Netherlands, Austria and Switzerland now have patriotic parties in coalition governments so I think in conclusion the answer is yes, the "far right" has achieved substantial success, but I would qualify that by saying the "right" still do not yet have the power to bring about major change.

2) How do you feel modern day democracy in Europe should deal with the far right?

There is very little democracy in countries such as the UK, Germany and Belgium, where patriotic "right wing" parties have very little access to the media, which is heavily controlled by the very people who oppose all kinds of patriotism or nationalism, whether that is British Nationalism or Flemish Nationalism. The people who control the media want to break down all national boundaries and promote the idea of "One World". We are not citizens of "One World" but we are very real people who have inherited a set of values, a very real landscape and very real wealth from our forefathers.

Let us be clear that a significant majority of people wholeheartedly support the policies of parties like the BNP and sister organisations in Europe. In various polls held from time to time a majority want an end to the floods of asylum seekers. A majority want tougher punishments for offenders. A majority want lower taxes. The sad fact is the majority do not vote on polling day and when they do, instead of voting with their heads and backing the party with common sense values, they frequently vote for the party they have always voted for, that their parents and even grandparents voted for, in some strange enactment of ingrained class



memories. The democratic process of elections is swayed and indeed undermined by the very negative publicity the media churn out time after time about the patriotic parties of the right. Until ALL political parties contesting elections have free and equal coverage in the media I don't see how anyone can say we live in a truly democratic society.

3) In terms of electoral success, how well do you feel the left wing have done across Europe in recent years?

In the mid 90s, the "left" were winning victory after victory across Europe as one country after another dumped their "conservative" parties, all of whom had introduced their own form of Thatcherism. This Thatcherism which privatised anything that could be privatised, resulted in widespread disaffection. There was a growing gulf between the very rich and the people who did the real work; the working and middle classes. There was growing dismay with the poor quality of services which had once been nationalised but were now in the hands of private businesses.

The left were swept to power in UK, France, Germany, Italy, Spain in the belief and expectation that they would address these problems. As we can see some ten years on, the "left" didn't address those pressing social and economic issues and it actually continued what the Free-Market supporters had started. We can see that after seven years of Blair's premiership. The "left" are far more ideologically hamstrung than the "right" and they have difficulty adjusting their policies, which are in many cases based on outdated envy of wealth, class and privilege, to

modern day economy and society. They continue to press for change in taxation to re-distribute wealth, but all that happens is that the very wealthy take their money out of the country and it is the working people that end up getting more heavily taxed to pay for left-wingers' pet projects. Support for the left in traditional "left wing" terms, such as Britain's New Labour is in decline and this will continue.

4) What do you think are the three main factors that attract people to vote for the far right in Europe?

The need for a wholesale change in the way things work. People generally are fed up with the gulf between what the people think and what the politicians think and do. Instead of representing the people, Governments act independently, bring in legislation and then make the people obey the laws they introduce. People generally like to have a say in what happens to them and they like things to be done at a local level. People do not like dictatorships and what we can see is the growth of the European Union as an unelected dictatorship which gets the respective governments in the member states to do its work.

The European Union is a distant and very alien body; voters in Denmark and Sweden recently rejected the Euro. There is growing unease about the whole "European dream" in many countries such as Italy, Germany and Holland which were once very solidly pro-European Union.

Secondly, there is the overwhelming problem of immigration. European countries

have in the past been favoured destinations for many different groups of people throughout the centuries. The big difference between medieval migration and the migration that has been taking place in the past 40 years is one of numbers and a vast difference in the culture of the people arriving in Europe. Political correctness has led to some countries not even bothering to gather the statistics about the origins and numbers of migrants. David Blunkett is on record as saying he "has no idea" about the real numbers of migrants in the UK!!

Instead of a very small number of refugees from the many religious wars that have plagued Europe during the past 400 years, many European nations now face hundreds of thousands of migrants on a yearly basis and despite the tough talk by some politicians the truth is that the numbers carry on growing. The UK in particular is seen as a soft-touch by would-be refugees. They deliberately pass through Greece, Italy, Austria, France and Belgium to arrive on Britain's shores. This situation is likely to get worse for Britain in the next 12 months as countries like Holland, which now has a patriotic "right-wing" party in coalition, bring about legislation to toughen up immigration control. The Dutch plan to expel tens of thousands of illegal migrants. Those migrants will not go back to their countries of origin and the UK is the most likely destination.

The third factor which I think accounts for support for "right-wing" parties is the breakdown in society. Where once people felt safe on the streets and safe in their own homes, there is a growing fear of danger. This is not just crime related. Yes crime is a factor and stories of assaults, rapes, muggings and murders makes for great headlines but it goes beyond that. Just 50 years ago there were real communities which were effectively self-policed. It is a big shock for those who are now in their 70s and 80s to witness a very different society from that they grew up in. Everyone knew their neighbours, even if they didn't always like them! Kids were safe to play in streets because other parents would look out for them. Old folk would have some kindly neighbour



'Politics' of the far left



to help them get to the shops and undertake chores. There would be a "local", a pub where adults would gather after work and discuss what had been happening during their day. 50 years ago, the local church would have been full every Sunday. It was an opportunity to meet people.

The past 50 years have seen the deliberate undermining of these community pillars. It came about firstly as admittedly poor quality housing stock was demolished and tower blocks were created. Great places for councils to build as they were cheap and used much less land, not so good for the families forced to live in them!

Then came a slow but sure undermining of the church and then the pubs, partly a result of the adoption of television taking up more of people's leisure time but also Government taxation (excise duty) on beer, crippling business rates and a whole raft of brewery takeovers resulting in the closure of scores of family-run breweries and thousands of pubs by the big combines that no longer saw any profit in them, preferring to concentrate on city centre drinking establishments which were not real pubs but retail outlets and entertainment complexes.

I know this is a very long answer to the question but the breakdown of traditional values, such as respect for the elderly, discipline in schools, a growing lawlessness by youth, the uptake and abuse of drugs, the really nasty stuff about child abuse and abduction, all things have happened as people have lost their sense of community and their sense of belonging. The "right" champions the traditional values which many folk are now realising have been lost and which need to be restored.

5) In the next few years, do you think Europe will see a decline or rise in electoral support for the far right?

Increasing support will happen much quicker than we may think. The numbers of asylum seekers will push many people over the edge of their tolerance and patience. Small market towns and villages will undergo big changes as these migrants choose to live there, or are

displaced by Governments away from the big cities. Once one country gains an outright popular nationalist and patriotic Government, rather than just a vocal opposition or partner in a coalition, we will see the rest of Western Europe follow. Who knows which country might be the first?

It is a strange time we now live in and even five years ago, no one outside or indeed inside the Netherlands, with its very liberal thinking people and tolerant approach to life, would have thought that not only would a leader of a "right wing party", Pym Fortyn, be assassinated because of his party's platform, but that a right wing party would be part of the ruling coalition.

I suspect that it will be one of the smaller countries such as the Netherlands, Belgium or Switzerland that sets the trend. Once a party that is akin to the BNP is elected, the credibility of similar parties elsewhere in Europe will be strengthened and one suspects that Denmark, France, Italy, Austria and the UK will soon follow.

It may take another decade to bring about but it will assuredly happen. Sadly countries such as Eire, Greece and Portugal have no real party or group, as yet, that compares to the BNP, the Austrian Freedom Party or the Swiss People's Party. That may change in the next few years.

6) How do you feel the far right in the UK compares with the rest of Europe?

There is only one serious party on the "far right" in the UK and that is the British National Party. There are other groups such as the National Front and the White Nationalist Party who cannot be taken seriously in terms of ever achieving electoral success.

Today's BNP is a very different entity to that which bore the same name just five years ago. The Party has matured considerably and now appeals to a much broader spectrum of people. Amazingly we have members who used to be members of the Labour Party, Tories, Lib Dems and even Greens. We are despised by the media yet at the same time

they retain a strange curiosity about us. The names that the media call us have changed. Whereas we used to be "Nazis" and "race-haters" now we are "extremists" and "far right"! Our spokesmen and women are much more attuned to how the media works and we can deliver a hard hitting punchline and give as good as we get.

We have a long, long way to go before we can say we are equals to say the French Front National or the Danish People's Party, both in terms of our representation in Government, media credibility and organisational strength. While we have thousands of members, in reality only a few hundred people are doing most of the work. That is changing as more folk get involved in their own localities. Where once a lot of members would keep their membership a closely guarded secret, now our membership is wearing its colours with pride.

June 2004 could be the date when everything changes faster than ever before. If, the BNP gets one or two candidates elected into the European Parliament, or if we do well in those elections, or if we get 30-40 more councillors elected, we will grow quicker than ever before. We will get many people coming over to us from other parties, in particular - but not exclusively - the Tories.

We may see some of the smaller media outlets, such as regional newspapers and radio stations which are independent, being more sympathetic towards us and we may even see some so-called "celebrities" backing us: after all if there is a party of winners, people like being associated with winners and all the fame that they believe goes with it!

Let us not hold our breath. However, on the negative side, any success for us at the elections will see a lot more mud-slinging from the controlled media. There will be a hostile reaction from the established parties and especially the Government of Tony Blair who may even feel the need to introduce legislation to curb our activities! Anything could happen, it is all to play for and the next few years are going to be very exciting for us.

Deculturalisation and the Awakening of Albion

By Lee Barnes

Deny a people their history, their culture and their traditions and they themselves will be destroyed it is called deculturalisation

It is as pernicious as genocide, yet it has been the policy of successive British governments over the last fifty years. It involves the destruction of all forms of local, racial and national traditions and the displacing of the indigenous people from their ancestral lands - either by armed force or by state coercion.

In the Third World, this process is being undertaken by an active method with private militias, machetes and the World Bank. In the United Kingdom it is being undertaken via the 'passive method' through the state education system, the legal system, the political process, international corporations, the Bank of England and the European Union.

From the examples of the Aborigines of Australia, Cornish tin miners, Welsh hill farmers, and the rain forest tribes of the Amazon, we see that once a people's roots have been torn from their traditional lands and an alien culture imposed upon them, then that people begins to die.

Each of these peoples has undergone a similar process of social disintegration arising from problems such as an increase in crime, alcohol and substance abuse, the breakdown of family life, and in some First World examples, a catastrophic collapse in birth rates.

HOW WE LOSE OUR CULTURE

First, a people lose its 'sense' of inner identity. Then it becomes angry and confused, which rapidly evolves into hate. Ultimately this hate turns to war against itself. In the terminology of the poet William Blake (1757-1827), the British people have been separated from the 'Eternals' of our



inner nature and national identity - their national history, racial identity, cultural values, traditions and heritage. As a result, we have fallen into the death sleep of Blake's 'Ulro' (the fallen wasteland) and 'Generation' (gross materialism and decadence).

In his writings, Blake said that the only way that Albion (a higher civilisation) could awake and the Golden Age begin, was when Jerusalem (England) and the folk nations - Ireland, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Cornwall, unite into a harmonious whole, shedding their previous conflicts.

The conflicts would cease (man's inner nature would be at peace) and imagination would once again control reason. The 'Age of the Olympian evolutionary society', Albion, would begin and illuminate the whole world with its sacred wisdom.

Here we see that Blake foretold that the destruction of the Inner Eternals (such as a sense of local and national linkage to the land, and of the sacred connection to our

ancestors) would lead to the destruction of the 'Eternal' of Great Britain itself. If we forget the Eternals of our inner nature, then we forego the opportunity to awaken Albion and save the world. When the inner vision of a people fades, then the civilisation it sustains dies.

RACIAL EXTERMINATION

Deculturalisation and removal from native lands are the pre-cursors of racial extermination. In the case of contemporary Western civilisation, this extermination of its own peoples is passive rather than active. Passive genocide is the process that begins with the social destruction of a people's core communal and cultural identity, leading to the inner disintegration of the individual self as a result of psychic ennui and associated spiritual and psychological trauma.

Social dislocation leads to individual self-destruction. In their rush to destroy a sense of racial national identity between the indigenous British people, the



deny a people their history, their culture and their traditions and they themselves will be destroyed it is called deculturalisation

It is as pernicious as genocide, yet it has been the policy of successive British governments over the last fifty years. It involves the destruction of all forms of local, racial and national traditions and the displacing of the indigenous people from their ancestral lands - either by armed force or by state coercion.

In the Third World, this process is being undertaken by an active method with private militias, machetes and the World Bank. In the United Kingdom it is being undertaken via the 'passive method' through the state education system, the legal system, the political process, international corporations, the Bank of England and the European Union.

From the examples of the Aborigines of Australia, Cornish tin miners, Welsh hill farmers, and the rain forest tribes of the Amazon, we see that once a people's roots have been torn from their traditional lands and an alien culture imposed upon them, then that people begins to die.

Each of these peoples has undergone a similar process of social disintegration arising from problems such as an increase in crime, alcohol and substance abuse, the breakdown of family life, and in some First World examples, a catastrophic collapse in birth rates.

HOW WE LOSE OUR CULTURE

First, a people lose its 'sense' of inner identity. Then it becomes angry and confused, which rapidly evolves into hate. Ultimately this hate turns to war against itself. In the terminology of the poet William Blake (1757-1827), the British people have been separated from the 'Eternals' of our inner nature and national identity - their national history, racial identity, cultural values, traditions and heritage. As a result, we have fallen into the death sleep of Blake's 'Ulro' (the fallen wasteland) and 'Generation' (gross materialism and decadence).

In his writings, Blake said that the only way that Albion (a higher civilisation) could awake and the Golden Age begin, was when



Our countryside - and with it our heritage - destroyed

Jerusalem (England) and the folk nations - Ireland, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Cornwall, unite into a harmonious whole, shedding their previous conflicts.

The conflicts would cease (man's inner nature would be at peace) and imagination would once again control reason. The 'Age of the Olympian evolutionary society', Albion, would begin and illuminate the whole world with its sacred wisdom.

Here we see that Blake foretold that the destruction of the Inner Eternals (such as a sense of local and national linkage to the land, and of the sacred connection to our ancestors) would lead to the destruction of the 'Eternal' of Great Britain itself. If we forget the Eternals of our inner nature, then we forego the opportunity to awaken Albion and save the world. When the inner vision of a people fades, then the civilisation it sustains dies.

RACIAL EXTERMINATION

Deculturalisation and removal from native lands are the pre-cursors of racial extermination. In the case of contemporary Western civilisation, this extermination of its own peoples is passive rather than active. Passive genocide is the process that begins with the social destruction of a people's core communal and cultural identity, leading to the inner disintegration of the individual self as

a result of psychic ennui and associated spiritual and psychological trauma.

Social dislocation leads to individual self-destruction. In their rush to destroy a sense of racial national identity between the indigenous British people, the 'mainstream' political parties have systematically planned and collaborated in the deculturalisation and extermination of the British people and nation. Some have done this for profit, others for ideological reasons, but all are criminals.

The state has become the most active promulgator of racial hatred in Britain, but only against its own indigenous people and national identity. Empire, slavery, institutional racism, corporate greed, Third World oppression, nazism, racism, the Holocaust and much more are taught in schools to White children to start the self-loathing process. Rap music, Black Power organisations, the biased media reporting of race attacks and racial issues, inflammatory 'public inquiries', 'positive discrimination', affirmative action plans, investigations by the C.R.E and so on ad infinitum, are all designed and promoted to induce in ethnic minorities a hatred of traditional British culture and the indigenous British people.

When ethnic minorities see a British government so in thrall to Zionist American interests that it sends British troops to fight 'fake'

wars for Israel, then can anyone wonder why they learn to hate the corrupt British state? All this is an explosive mixture of grievances that simmers away unresolved in our towns and cities.

CONSUMER SLAVERY

The history of the Labour Government under Tony Blair shows us that first, the State destroys the reputation of a national institution, and then it destroys the institution itself. When it cannot find actual overt evidence of an 'evil' such as 'racism' in an public institution, it 'presumes it exists' by applying the logic of covert 'institutional racism' as defined by Stokely Carmichael, the inventor of the phrase 'Black Power' (spoken with a raised right fist).

This is how the logic of Black fascism has become the dogma of the liberal elite. The public worship of foreign gods and the mass destruction of traditional values are twin assaults on our culture. The media's fetishistic adoration of everything 'ethnic' and the denigration of everything 'nationalistic' has created a Pavlovian reflex-conditioned British public as mass brainwashed as any on a Kremlin parade ground.

They follow facile fads and fashions as slavishly as any dictator's sycophants. They are the tribes of Reebok and Nike, the

drones and clones of global consumerism, cattle on a global reservation to be miscegenated and milked for profit. No loyalty to race or nation, to culture or civilisation, merely blind obedience to their masters who enslave them in cheap golden chains and teach them to call it 'Liberty'.

NATURAL ORDER - AS IT WAS

I grew up in a village in Kent called Hoo St. Werburgh, a scion of the Garden of England where once, mile after mile of apple orchards used to grow employing local people. My grandfather moved to the village just after the Second World War and ran one of the local farms as a manager. He and my grandmother had left the tired and traumatised blitzed ruins of London for a new start in the countryside.

My mother lived in an old cricket pavilion with Victorian era gas lighting, where dozens of amorous moths used to dance before the flickering flame on balmy summer nights. In the spring the pale verdant buds and blossoms of the orchards delighted the eye with their brazen beauty and gave grace to cold mornings. She walked to school in sun and snow and never missed a lesson in the village school.

The siren of Chatham dockyard that used to rouse the dock workers would wail its wake up call twice

each morning at six and seven. Everything seemed in its natural order and the village was a living organism in which each played an essential part. In late summer the windfalls of fermenting apples used to make the bees and wasps drowsy with their nectar and the air sweet to the tip of the tongue.

She thrilled at the song of ascending skylarks that filled every sun-ripened wheat field and the passing of time was marked by the ancient calendar of sun, moon and harvest. The Church was full on a Sunday morning and the pubs packed every night. Time passed slowly in gentle seasons.

NEW DECULTURALISED ORDER

Now the fields are monoculture deserts of rape and linseed that smear the landscape into an impressionistic collection of gaudy cash crop canvases devoid of wildlife. Motorways and roads to the container port at the Isle of Grain are a killing ground for young kids in old cars, and huge roaring lorries scour the night with their high beams. Streetlights curdle the stars in the night sky and the drone of aeroplanes kills the silence.

I have been a witness to this transformation, it has happened before my eyes like some dark magician's spell that has settled upon the land. Nature is a stranger to

Vanguard - ISSUE 11

The BNP's official monthly in-depth educational CD. Essential listening for all serious Nationalists.

Featuring:

- 1) *The Penalty Kick*
- 2) *A Time Traveller Reports*
- 3) *Capital Castle*

Vanguard is produced on behalf of the British National Party and distributed by Excalibur.

Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW
Each issue costs £5 inc P&P.

A subscription for the next 12 issues costs £50.

Credit/debit card payments can be accepted online at the following address: www.bnp.org.uk/vanguard



This is the first of a three-part article by Tim Heydon giving an overview of the reasons for Britain's decline since the last war, with particular reference to the growth of the liberal-left.

Then And Now: PART 1

'As political and economic freedom diminishes, sexual freedom tends correspondingly to increase. And the dictator... will do well to encourage that freedom. In conjunction with freedom to daydream under the influence of dope, the movies and the radio, it will help to reconcile his subjects to the servitude that is their fate'.

Aldous Huxley: Introduction to 'Brave New World'



The generation that fought World War II was arguably the finest produced by this or any other nation. Those who fought did so to save their country's freedom and to secure the possibility of a better future for themselves and their families. Many of those who served in the War and are still with us are bitter and despairing when they contemplate the reality of Britain today.

Certainly, there is no denying that materially many of its people are much better off now than they were then, and social relations show a sometimes welcome relaxation. But in other important respects, the future that those who went to war hoped for, including a fairer economic and political dispensation, has never arrived.

And there has been so much change for the worse. In key areas of life the country's method of government, elective dictatorship, represented not the people so much as the ruling elites. Now, it represents the people even less as these elites have changed to those of the liberal-left and power shifts to Europe.

Additionally, other heart-rending changes have occurred which have brought alienation and decay to the land. Changes which were unwanted and unasked for by most of its people and which have crept up on them unawares.

VIEWES THAT ARE NOW HELD BY THE BNP

Though Britain's wartime generation were conscious of much social and economic injustice, they were united by a strong sense of community, of bonds of kinship, of

a common history and culture, religious background and notions of morality.

The importance of all of this is that, in general, they had views not much different from those now held by the BNP. Those who fought the Nazis would today find themselves very often vilified as 'fascist,' 'bigoted' or 'racist' by the current ruling power elites in politics, the media, entertainment and elsewhere.

INVASION THREAT THEN AND NOW

In the war they had fought to keep our islands free from invasion so that our nation could continue its way of life unmolested in this, the ancient homeland of our ancestors.

Now, we are required by pygmy politicians vying with each other in mealy-mouthedness, to stand aside and 'celebrate diversity'; which is to say, to dance on the grave of our nation and way of life, as our country is invaded by millions of an alien race, culture and religion. Meanwhile, the British people are elbowed into the barely recognised status of one ethnic group among many within our own borders.

Then, they were inspired by their pride in the example of their ancestors in every sphere of human endeavour and their pioneering struggles to create a free, fair and decent society for themselves and their descendants.

Now, we must watch as our nation's unsurpassed record is ignored or traduced by our own leadership, or the very existence of the British as a people denied, while the elite state teaches our young people a truncated, perverted version of history which celebrates the lesser achievements of others, and holds up that which can be held to our country's discredit.

By this means our rulers hope that our youth will be deprived of real knowledge or regard for the unbroken story of their ancestors in these islands and of any idea of themselves as their heirs, in order to crush our sense of belonging to the land of our forefathers and to its towns and communities.

This, they hope, will facilitate their apparent goal: the creation of an essentially empty and inhuman society of rootless, anonymous, connectionless individuals; producers and consumers feeling no real loyalty towards anything outside themselves, except perhaps consumer brands, football teams or



entertainment stars. The independence from Continental domination, from which they had saved their inheritance with the blood of hundreds of thousands, is stealthily filched from them with lies and subterfuge, as their country is surrendered by their rulers to a European Superstate in the mould of continental tyrannies from Napoleon to the Soviet Union. Like its forerunners, this latest doomed creation of the internationalist power elites is hostile to everything distinctively British: British institutions, British freedoms under the common law, British ways, the British character, the British nation.

OUR CULTURAL DEADROCK

At the time of World War II, though weakened by the false perceptions that it is incompatible with the modern science which could not have existed without it, and that the horrors of war meant that God must be absent, the British interpretation of Christianity still held powerful sway in the land they knew. It remained the unspoken assumption of the best in thought and action for nearly everyone and lay behind the honour of public and the decencies of private life.

Christian ideas about the individual and Christian principles of morality, together with the ancient traditions of the land, helped to mould the laws of the state and the behaviour required of its members. Now, their state is 'morally neutral', which is to say actively anti-Christian in practice, and in the interests of a Marxist inspired, politically correct vision of society.

Now, that wartime generation sees how the religion of their forefathers is routinely set aside by their political masters. Contempt for the creed that helped make the British one of the most successful peoples in history; a society at once decent and rumbustious, charitable and self reliant, traditional and innovative, is fostered covertly and openly by those in charge of the public culture.

OUR CULTURE PUSHED ASIDE

In our schools and elsewhere it is diminished as just one faith among many, while the faiths of ethnic minorities are 'celebrated'. Thus, many British schoolchildren come home to their parents having celebrated Hindu and Islamic festivals, with Easter being ignored.

Then, because of Christianity, all, however different, were dignified and esteemed as equal in the sight of God. As such, and through the ancient traditions of their ancestors, they were held responsible for their own actions, knowing right from wrong. Now, the patronising Marxist idea holds sway that environment is responsible for all, and that indeed there is no right and wrong, only different, equally valid 'values'. Thus the worst offender is excused as either ill or a victim of some imagined oppression, and he or she is diminished as a mere puppet of environment rather than a sovereign person of free will and choice.

The legalisms of Human Rights, handed down from the internationalist power elites, have supplanted the

call to moral behaviour of traditional religion and the duties and rights growing out of the people's own common law, so that morality has become, too often, not that which free individuals owe to God, to themselves and to others, but what is required by their rulers and what they think they can get away with.


Then, (1941) Britain had 359,000 recorded crimes. In 2001 there were 5,200,000. Then, divorce and single parenthood were a rarity. Now, Britain has the highest divorce rate and the greatest proportion of unmarried mothers in Europe, as that bulwark of freedom and transmission of tradition, the family, is attacked by leftism (and capitalism - Ed).

Then, relations between the generations, the sexes and persons were based on mutual assumptions of respect, trust and honour; ideals often fallen short of but nevertheless solidly present, a guide and a reproof. Now, our old people are hidden away and our childrens' development is increasingly in the hands of the state, which teaches them about anal sex in the schools and hands contraceptives to our 13-year olds.

Then, pornography, which debases by pandering to the animal instincts and treating human beings as objects, was once to be found only under the counter in seedy back streets. Now, it is to be seen nightly on national television, while sexual relations are peddled by 'opinion formers' as morality free zones; like drugs, just another consumer requisite in a material society which has lost sight of its spiritual roots.

Then, the sanctity of human life was a given fact. Now, the genetics industry treats human tissue from the unborn as raw material; thousands of babies are murdered in the womb, some having their heads drilled through in partial birth abortions and the euthanasia movement gathers strength.

This latter will, as has happened already elsewhere, lead to the pressured effective suicide of old people who think they are a burden and the killing of others whether they want it or not, if medical professionals judge their 'quality of life' to be insufficient.





John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

EURO-ELECTION SPECIAL 2004

FOR YEARS THE BRITISH VOTERS ONLY CHOICE



But now there is the
BNP

Why Bother to Vote?

No doubt you too have met those armchair 'nationalists' who say "I agree with everything you say, but it is too late to do anything about it, so I can't be bothered to vote." During the years when many nationalist old-hands became non-active (including myself), mainly due to their disillusionment over the path the National Front took in the mid-seventies, we could see that Britain's decline was accelerating. However, there were few indications that the public was prepared to back any new, democratic opposition to the old gang parties that have brought us to the edge of ruin. Today, it is indeed the eleventh hour, but in my 52 years of nationalist political experience I have never seen such a response from the people to our nationalist cause, which is mainly due to the way it is now presented and to the quality of our leadership. Above all, the strongest force in the world is *an idea whose time has come!* That is why it is now time to vote BNP.

Proof of the effect of voting BNP was shown when the BNP gained its first six Councillors in Burnley and several 'near misses' in Oldham (some through pro-Labour minorities' vote fraud). The Government immediately stopped sending asylum seekers to those two areas and the local Labour Council in Burnley started spending money on the white areas and not just the Asian! A similar story has arisen in Stoke, where the BNP has two Councillors. Perhaps more important, the few restrictions that Blunkett is now putting on illegal immigration, feeble though they may be, are solely a reaction to the threat to Labour votes now posed by the BNP.

We are opposed to Britain surrendering its sovereignty to the European Federal Government that the EC is aiming for. We cannot just ignore it, for it certainly will not just go away. We must fight it on the inside: hence our MEP candidates. They will work closely with fellow nationalists, as has been made clear

with our co-operation with the French *Front National* of Jean-Marie Le Pen. The BNP and the *FN* share the common goal to dismantle the undemocratic institutions of the EU and to return sovereignty to the individual nation states of Europe. Furthermore, as with Danish, Belgian, Dutch and other European patriotic groups who will be fielding candidates in the EU elections, we have a common objective of ensuring that the nation states of Europe retain their respective cultural and genetic identities and are not turned into Islamic Republics.

Two further advantages of contesting the Euro elections are: 1. It will give the whole of England, Scotland and Wales the chance to register a vote for the BNP for the first time. 2. Unlike many of the old parties' candidates who look upon being an MEP as a ticket on the gravy train, any BNP MEPs elected will donate 40 per cent of their salary, after tax, to the Party funds.

Brussels 'Justice' Allows No Opposition to Euro Superstate

The Flemish speaking *Vlaams Blok* in Belgium, which is campaigning on the similar pro-sovereignty and stop further immigration platform to the BNP, has been banned by a politically appointed court on the grounds that it leaves immigrants only two options: "to assimilate or to return home." The *Vlaams Blok* is not some minor group, but has 18 MPs in the 150-seat Belgian Parliament, many local councillors and two MEPs. Opinion polls were predicting it would win most Belgian votes at the June European and local elections.

The judgement was made at the Court of Appeal in Ghent, known to be a stronghold of Socialists and Liberals and chaired by Judge Alain Smetrijns, a Francophone strongly opposed to any Flemish independence. The charge was initiated in 2000 by a government agency resorting directly under Socialist Prime Minister Guy Verhofstadt, called the "Centre of Equal Opportunities and the Fight against Racism," but originally dropped by a Brussels court.

Communism is being enacted in Europe, and in Britain via growing elements of New Labour, through the outrageous suppression of patriotic European alternatives to the liberal-left's aim for a multiracial Europe. Who is the next victim? The BNP, if Blunkett and Blair could have their way.

As a warning of the frightening future that the EU could have for us, Belgium is in many ways a mini-EU: an artificial state created (much like Europe's three similar such former states as the Soviet Union, Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia) as a result of political ideology rather than any sense of national unity, and held together by a political class which is prepared to

subvert democracy to achieve its ends. And the judiciary is not independent but is appointed by politicians, which is, of course, the way that New Labour is going.

Multi-nationals Back the EU

The EU's origins go back to 1958 when France, Germany, Italy, Belgium, Luxembourg and the Netherlands pooled their coal and steel industries and signed a treaty in Rome to form a customs and economic union - the European Common Market. Even before this birth, the concept had the full backing, including monetary aid, of most multi-national companies. The ordinary people of war-scarred Europe were easily persuaded that this would prevent yet another "Brothers' Wars" and further blood-letting that had already cost 50 million lives in two world wars. But what they were voting for was a partly unelected bureaucratic regime whose main objective was globalisation and a future world government which benefits only the multi-national companies.

As Steve Blake, the BNP's lead Euro Candidate in Scotland, pointed out in an interview in the April issue of *Sovereignty*, the whole concept of the nation state is thoroughly alien to those of the three main UK parties, and even the SNP in Scotland. They all back a globalist agenda.

The BNP stands resolutely for the maintenance of the nation state as the political entity that makes the decisions on behalf of all its people. The wholesale surrender of decision making from an elected body in Westminster or Holyrood (Edinburgh) to a distant, alien and unelected European Commission is nothing less than treason. It must be emphasized that it is the Commission that runs the show in the EU. The European Parliament, which is the elected body, is very much a rubber-stamping chamber for the unelected Commission. That Commission, says Steve, to the last man and woman is made up of the political appointees from the Liberal-Left parties in their member states.

They are thoroughly globalist in their thinking and are utterly opposed to the nation state. They cannot understand why, for example, we in the British National Party are so passionate about the survival of the nation state: it just isn't part of their psyche.

We have to withdraw from this undemocratic institution and ensure that these people no longer have any influence on British affairs. It is highly likely that other European nations would follow our example.

The Cost of EU Membership

Lady Symons, deputy leader of the House of Lords, said that up to August 2002 there were 101,811 EU Regulations that are all legally binding on Britain. None was subject to Parliamentary scrutiny!

For the cost we must go to the Office for National Statistics (the ONS). Its *Pink Book*, published November 2003, shows that over the ten-year period 1993-2002, the UK paid over to EU institutions a gross amount of £104 billion. In the same period we received back £64 billion and the EU's stated objective is to

reduce these repayments to Britain. So the UK's net contribution for the ten-year period was \$40 billion, or an average of £4 billion per year. That works out at £678 as the average annual net contribution that every man, woman and child in the UK has paid over to "Brussels" in the last decade.

Our Government could have spent that £40 billion on 160 brand-new hospitals, having a total of 128,000 beds.

ID CARDS - NO WAY!

Like the general public, BNP supporters' views on Identity cards vary. Some think they will help to deter illegal immigrants and terrorists - although Spain's ID cards did not stop the Madrid massacre. Others think that it is another blow to our freedom and a further advance of New Labour's totalitarian state. This view from BNP member Ian Buckley gives an interesting opinion.

"David Blunkett has promised to introduce ID cards within the next few years. Blunkett, one of the dimmer bulbs in a very dim government, says this will help prevent terrorism.

"He - like the rest of the New Labour crew - fails to note that the only reason Britain is a target is because his own government has put us directly in the firing line.

"As one Liverpudlian commented in a TV interview, Britain clings to America like a desperate old tart. This humiliation is felt by us all, if not by the likes of Blair - who was left waiting at Andrews Air Base following his latest trans-Atlantic grovel.

"When Britain was more homogeneous, 'Dixon of Dock Green' policing sufficed. But now, Blunkett says we need a repressive 'PAPERS, PLEASE!' approach, mainly because of the profound changes imposed by New Labour and others.

"As demonstrated in Spain, ID cards would never stop determined and dedicated terrorists, and would merely be yet another expense and inconvenience inflicted on our long-suffering people.

"Mr. Blunkett - you can stick your identity cards!"

Editor's Note To Subscribers:

NO JUNE ISSUE!

As our production staff and main contributors will be heavily engaged in the Election Campaigns, we will not be publishing a June issue.

Existing subscriptions will be adjusted accordingly. It is hoped to publish the July issue some ten days earlier than normal.



May 2004

ME AN STILL TALIBAN NOZ CHA... ASYLUM IN BRITAIN... R AT HOTEL P... ASYLUM SEEK

Readers' Letters

BNP

elec

50

R

h

syl

00

rkis

Wil

101

SUZANNE ST

ALI

SYL

fre

20

IDENTITY

COME

ANGER

Speaker's Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Deterring Electoral Fraud!

"Electoral Fraud is Easy"- see the lead news item in your March issue. However, as a deterrent, readers should write to the Electoral Registration Officer of their constituency asking that the fine for personification, or other electoral malpractice (fraud for short) be quoted on the Electoral Registration Form, as it always used to be. The fine is "Level 5 on the standard scale... up to £5000"

It should also be emphasised that the Representation of the People Act, 2000 says that the Electoral Registration Officer may make calls, 'house to house' and shall determine all objections made by another person whose

name is on the Electoral Register. An idealistic view!

Failure to note the fine for malpractice on the Registration Form is itself an electoral malpractice as offenders could otherwise claim they did not know the penalty.

Incidentally, according to the *Mail on Sunday* 27.3.04 New Labour Junior Minister Patricia Hewitt has complained to the Boundaries Commission that her Leicester constituency now contains "too many white people".

R.Gaywood
King's Lynn

>> Indo-European Origins

I found your comments (Nationalist Notebook, March) on the Sumerians being the founders of the original Indo-European culture most interesting.

In Radhakrishnan's *The Hindu View of Life*, published by Unwin Books, on page 30 he states: "The Vedic culture (of the Sumerians in the Indus Valley), which resembles that of the Homeric Greeks or the Celtic Irish at the beginning of the Christian era, or that of the pre-Christian Teutons and Slavs, became transformed in the Epics into the Hindu culture."

N.Beale
London, S.W.

>> Growing Accustomed To Immigrants

Concealed in John Bean's Nationalist Notebook report (March) on the Yoxford by-election is an example of the greatest problem

the BNP faces: the coloured immigrant who penetrates into the very heart of our society and becomes 'accepted', as the 'well-respected' Asian keeper of Yoxford village store has apparently succeeded in becoming.

Our opponents hope and, indeed, believe that the British people will grow so accustomed to meeting blacks and Asians everywhere, including the most unlikely places such as a village store, that they will regard this situation as the norm and their resolve to keep Britain British will crumble away.

L. J. Irving
Plymouth

>> Land Grab

I pose a question that nobody commenting on the Israel-Palestine conflict seems to want to ask: If it is deemed necessary to build a wall for security, the size of which dwarfs that of the former Berlin

wall, why does it have to be on legally recognised Palestinian land, thus annexing (stealing) desperately needed Arab farms and homes? If that isn't adding insult to injury, I don't know what is.

P. Rogers
Bedford

P. S. I congratulate you and your staff for maintaining such a high standard of journalism in your magazine.

>> Divine Right to Excommunicate BNP Members

I am not sure if a certain Mr Goodborn, General Secretary of Churches Together, is an apostle or just a run of the mill saint. He seems to have been empowered with some sort of divine right to take it upon himself to excommunicate BNP members. He had the unmitigated gall to tell me that "Christianity and the BNP are not compatible". I have only spoken to him on the telephone

used to accommodate asylum seekers on a temporary basis... The Turkish family are a leading... Commander John Y...

and have not yet had the privilege of witnessing this man walking across the Thames.

My phone call arose as a result of Churches Together announcing that they were hiring a hall at Bromley Civic Centre on April 2nd so that the London Mayoral Candidates from each party could answer questions from the public. The BNP candidate, Julian Leppart, was excluded. I made numerous telephone calls to protest against this blatant discrimination, including to Nelson Pallister, the minister who organised the meeting, the Returning Officer for Bromley, Mr David Barlett, heads of council departments concerned with election business, the Heads of Law for both Bromley and Lewisham and finally the Head of the Electoral Commission. It was made quite clear to me that the minister, Nelson Pallister, could invite who he liked, a view which was upheld by Mr Goodborn. I was also told that the other members of the panel would not under any circumstances share the platform with our mayoral candidate, Julian Leppart. So much for freedom of speech and democracy!

Tess Culnane
Lewisham & Bromley BNP

>> A Global View Of Immigration

"Global syndicates, which also trade in drugs and arms, are estimated to earn as much as \$12 billion annually from human traffic. They target the aspirational middle classes of developing countries and thrive on excess demand for immigration places", writes economist Helen Hughes in *Immigrants, Refugees and Asylum Seekers*, a book recently published by the Crows Nest-based Centre for Independent Studies (<http://www.cis.org.au/Publications/summaries/PM54summ.htm>) Hughes, an ANU emeritus professor, says the syndicates try to bypass legal immigration controls by presenting illegal immigrants as asylum seekers in order to "exploit compassion" in liberal Western

democracies. They include genuine refugees in each cargo. (Just 11.6 per cent of all asylum seekers worldwide were recognised as refugees in the decade to 2000). Often children are included to ramp up the sympathy factor.

David Hamilton
E-mail

>> Revisionist Bishops and Liberal Vicars

The rapidly declining religious sect formerly known as the Church of England is ever more desperate to resurrect itself as the liberal man's conscience in its pursuit of political correctness. It is now trying to remove Sunday as the traditional holy day of Britain. It is not Sunday that the Church should be dropping. It is that poisonous and totalitarian creed of political correctness that should be abandoned in favour of traditional worship and the real pastoral needs of its congregations.

The current Church of England is under the relentless pressure of revisionist bishops and liberal vicars who are hi-jacking Christianity in favour of multiculturalism. It is hardly surprising that it is preaching to empty pews as the Church becomes increasingly obsessed with gay marriages and the dumbing down of traditional worship in favour of "dialogue" with other, alien religions, that is allowing Islam and Hinduism primacy over the indigenous religion of Christianity. When will our Church leaders stand up for their faith and win back their congregations?

Clive Potter
e-mail

>> Why Do We Not Support The Serbs?

I have visited Serbia and Bosnia three times within the past eighteen months with my girlfriend, who is Serbian. One of her aunts (who lost an arm in WW2 when Croat Ustasha attacked a church) was 'ethnically' moved on by the

Muslims in Bosnia in 1992. On the last visit to Bosnia a 'nephew' took us shopping and told us to wait outside one shop. We caught a glimpse of the shop owner, who had only half a face. We were told that this happened when he was shot by Moslems and then held prisoner for two years, during which he had a baseball bat shoved up his backside for two days and had been constantly sprayed with cold water for about five days. Understandably, the nephew said that this shop owner had so much bitterness towards Blair and Clinton that he feared for my safety.

The aunt with one arm has Bosnian Serb friends lodging with her because NATO are only protecting Moslems. These friends have lost their homes: in effect 1500 Serbs have been murdered in Bosnia by Moslems (mainly Albanians) since the war and this goes unreported. Yet, if one Moslem is killed there is hell to pay.

Charles Wentworth
Blackheath

>> White Genocide in South Africa

The editorial page in the April edition of Identity repeated a canard that has been doing the rounds on the Internet, namely that a general massacre of Whites in South Africa is planned for the day after Mandela dies. This has no basis in fact. The truth is that Blacks in South Africa, have not the wherewithal to organise a massacre on such a scale, and in any event, they are already doing a fine job by steadily whittling away White numbers by simple murderous attrition. For example, the number of farm murders has climbed to over 1,600 since 1994, while the number of White farmers has dropped to below 35,000. In the urban areas of South Africa, the murder rate is no less: last year some 28,000 murders took place in that country, in real terms even more than in the USA.

Arthur Kemp
email

FOR HUMAN RIGHTS...
ars as
opens
Secretary David...
seekers...
gues hot mail...
walking die...
Last month...
MC GILL...
dise admit mor...
10 illegal immi...
e still living...
lunket denia...
a new Ser...
it has no bed...
light stave...
ACI...
RIMI...
P20...
I N...
I N...
IDENTITY 21



EXPOSED: Labour's Plan For Massive Vote Fraud

Bruce Davidson investigates the real reason behind Labour's enthusiasm for postal voting.

In a desperate bid to cheat the British National Party of its predicted gains in the Euro and Local elections, the far left Labour Party is planning a massive postal vote scam.

Labour and Lib Dem election fraud is a well-documented fact. In *Identity* August 2003 ('Eternal vigilance the price of democracy') I detailed a number of cases where Labour and Lib Dem councillors were jailed for forging postal votes. The article quoted press reports on the scandal of forged votes in Oldham, where 220 'voters' were purportedly registered in just four terraced houses. When a BNP candidate came within 91 votes of winning a seat in Oldham, such cheating by the old parties has a clearly negative impact on the democratic process.

In the light of the BNP's potential for an historic breakthrough on 'Super Thursday' (June 10th), therefore, it is particularly important that the electorate is not cheated out of its right to a democratic choice.

Whilst the Lib Dem's favourite fraud is personation (where someone turns up at the polling booth falsely claiming to be a voter on the electoral roll), Labour's preferred method is to register large numbers of non-existent voters. It is then a simple matter to apply for postal votes in their name and fill them in *en masse*. This is how they overturned the large BNP majority in Millwall, and (so far) prevented a BNP breakthrough in Oldham.

HANDING FRAUDULENT VOTES TO LABOUR

The opportunity for electoral fraud explains Labour's sudden desire for all-postal ballots, and is now so blatant that even the controlled media has picked up on it. In his article 'Postal voting soars in BNP candidate areas' (*The Times*, 01 May 2002), reporter Russell Jenkins stated:

"In local authorities where large numbers of British National Party candidates are standing, the number of postal vote applications has soared. The surge in postal votes has prompted renewed fears over electoral fraud, particularly because many of the applications are from areas with a high proportion of Asian voters among whom the influence of community leaders and family patriarchs is strong."

This is a coded way of saying Labour is encouraging Asian leaders to register large numbers of fraudulent postal votes and hand them over to the Labour Party. Jenkins continues:

"In Burnley, where 13 BNP candidates are standing,

there have been 5,275 requests for postal votes, compared with 1,205 at the general election...In Oldham there have been 5,964 applications, in the London borough of Bexley postal vote applications have risen to 8,430, while Kirklees Council has received 15,765 applications."

Jenkins reports applications for postal votes in Bradford rose from 18,000 at the last general election to a staggering 39,000 at the 2002 local elections.

Lo and behold, following the 2002 local poll, the triumphant *Manchester Evening News* (03 May 2002) headline was: "Massive turn-out keeps BNP off Oldham Council."

The lack of safeguards against Labour postal vote fraud was the main reason cited for the House of Lords rejection of the government's desperate attempt to make the North West region (the BNP's electoral stronghold) an all-postal poll on 10 June 2004. The Lords rejected the government's bill to achieve this a staggering **five** times before caving - in an indication of the grave threat that this vote-rigging poses to the electoral system. Even the government's own Electoral Commission said the danger of fraud was too great to allow the North West to go all-postal.

Labour has managed to add the Yorkshire and Humber region, where BNP support is also strong, to its four postal vote regions, the other two being East Midlands and the North-East.

The most important issue on postal voting, however, is not the blatant fraud committed by Labour and Lib Dems. It is the ease which postal voting allows the Government to legally 'harvest' the votes of its supporters, both genuine and fraudulent.

In most conventional local government polls, turnout is fairly low at around 30 per cent. This means the majority of voters never cast their vote, but the *percentage* of voters who do will roughly match the percentage who would have voted for each party if there had been a hundred per cent turnout. Therefore, the Labour Party (which has vastly greater resources than the BNP) could easily overturn a large BNP majority by personally collecting the postal votes of Labour supporters and ensuring they are delivered.

Precisely how this works is shown in the graph, illustrating a 'typical' ward of some 5,000 electors. In figure 1, the turnout is 30 per cent, so only 1,500 votes are actually cast. The lighter colours show what would have been the result if every single voter turned out (i.e. 100 per cent turnout), whilst the darker colours reflect the *actual* votes of that 30 per cent of the electorate




Fig.1
Electorate: 5,000
Turnout: 30%
Votes cast: 1,500




Fig.2
Electorate: 5,000
Turnout: 40%
Votes cast: 1,980

which actually bothered to vote. The raw figures are of course lower, but the proportion (or percentage), of votes per party remains the same, with the BNP on 50 per cent, Labour 28 per cent, Lib Dems 17 per cent and Tories a miserable 5 per cent.

However, figure 2 shows what happens if Labour more than doubles the *turnout* of its own supporters (though not, of course, that of the other parties). The overall 'voting preference' of the 5,000 Ward electors remains exactly the same (e.g. there is still 50 per cent support for the BNP and 28 per cent for Labour), but the number of *actual* Labour voters easily defeats the actual number of BNP supporters who bothered to vote on the day.

In the example shown here, the extra Labour turnout pushes the overall turnout to forty per cent, and easily defeats the BNP despite our own party having the support of most voters. However, recent polls featuring BNP candidates have seen turnouts well over fifty per cent, indicating Labour's efficiency at 'harvesting' their own supporters' postal votes.

What, then, are the conclusions to be drawn from the postal vote threat to democracy?

The BNP can combat this threat to a great extent by encouraging its own supporters to apply for postal votes (and make sure they *use* their votes in areas which are all-postal). As Tony Lecomber commented in May 2003's *ID*: "The BNP can play postal votes as well."

-Labour is planning to make all votes postal in future, and will include the rule that parties will be allowed to find out which voters have not yet sent in their votes, allowing canvassers to make further visits to waverers. This rule is obviously designed to help Labour, but again, the BNP should take advantage of the system as well.

-Labour's claim that 'high turnouts hurt the BNP' is only a half-truth. The high turnouts associated with BNP candidates are generated mainly through Labour's illegal 'vote harvesting' where Labour canvassers trick elderly or foreign voters into handing over blank postal vote forms.

As a final word, it is worth quoting an article in the *Mail on Sunday* (28 March 2004) by Jonathan Oliver:

"The ballot box is set to be abolished under radical plans to introduce compulsory voting by post..."

"Now many fear postal voting will lead to a resurgence in electoral fraud..."

"Earlier Labour legislation which relaxed the restrictions on voting by post has already led to a number of police inquiries into so-called 'vote harvesting'. The fraud involves party activists illegally collecting postal forms from confused electors and promising to 'help' them cast their votes. It is most common in areas where there are large numbers of recent immigrants, unfamiliar with the democratic process... There are also concerns that all-postal vote elections would give Labour an unfair advantage."

Twinkle, Twinkle, Tony's Star. We Know What Your Game Plans Are!



New Labour, 'New Nazi' Blair in quest to trade Britain's sovereignty for 'his' Presidency of Europe

SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY

Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best nationalist writers, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per wk!

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable): British Isles £15 ☐ Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24 ☐

Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name:

Address:

Postcode:

Telephone:

Email:

All cheques etc should be made payable to British National Party or British Heritage and sent to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge CB1 6WF. If you have any queries, please call us on 0870 7573 267. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk order rates:

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90; £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage — £1.05 for 5, £1.94 for 10, £4.05 for 15 - 20, £4.34 for 25 - 30, £5.19 for 40 - 50

Identity

P0 Box 841, Balsham, Cambs CB1 6WF
Telephone enquiries to 0870 7576 267

Sub Renewal SECOND AND FINAL REMINDER

Dear Reader,

Your subscription to *Identity* has expired and needs renewing!

We hope very much to have the pleasure of receiving your renewal fee at your earliest convenience.

Please fill in the form below and send it with your remittance to the address at the top of this reminder. **All cheques and postal orders should be made payable to *British National Party* or *British Heritage* along with other items and NOT to *Identity*.**

If you have sent off your renewal fee very recently, it may have crossed in the post with this reminder, in which case please ignore the latter.

Normally our readers accept continued supply of their copies as indicating that their subscriptions have been received. However, if you require a special receipt would you please enclose a stamped-addressed envelope with your remittance.

If, in addition to your subscription fee, you are able to send an extra sum as a donation to help us to meet our constantly rising expenses, this will be greatly appreciated. Receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10.00 unless accompanied by an S.A.E..

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £15.00 ☐; *Overseas surface mail* £16.50 ☐; *Air mail Europe* £17.50 ☐; *Air mail Americas, Middle East, Africa* £24.00 ☐; *Air mail Far East, Australasia* £25.00 ☐.

IMPORTANT! If you are an overseas subscriber, please note that if you send your remittance in any currency other than Pounds Sterling there will be an extra charge to cover banker's commission and charges. This will be £6.50 in the case of cheques or money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes. *Identity* cannot accept liability for the loss or theft of any banknotes in transit.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

.....

.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION OF.....